IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND EIGHT

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY
WRIT PETITION No.15115 of 2005

Between:

Suragani Lakshmi, W/o.Narasimha Rao,
R/o. Baptla, Guntur district, Near Panduranga
Swamy Temple.

... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner , Prohibition & Excise,
Excise Comple, Opp: Ajantha Gate, Nampally, Hyderabad.
2 The Superintendent, Prohibition & Excise Near Taluk Office,
Backside, Arundalpet Police Station, Guntur.
3 Vadlamudi Subba rao, S/o.China Somaiah,
R/o. Parali Karlapalem Mandal, Guntur District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court MAY be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the provisions of Excise Act and Rules made thereunder the Proceedings of the 1st Respondent issued in Cr.10141/2005/CPE/6 dt.04-04-2005 and issue a consequential direction restraining the Respondents 1 and 2 in permitting the 3rd Respondent from conducting business in D.No.10-1-39, Ratham Bazar, Bapatla.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr. P. RAJASEKHAR

Counsel for Respondents 1 & 2: GP, PROHIBITION & EXCISE

Counsel for Respondent No.3: Mr. P. Phalguna Rao

The Court made the following:

Order:

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the subject matter of the writ petition has become infructuous.

Recording the submission of the learned counsel, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.

_____

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY.

March 7, 2008
MRR

To
1.2CCs to
2.2CD copies